







## 66 FINE ART

### VIS 120H1F Visual Concepts

Instructor(s): L. Steele

	Resp: 130							Retake: 80%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	8	27	41	21	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	18	32	33	14	5.4
Communicates	0	0	1	6	20	35	35	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	9	22	46	20	5.7
Workload	0	1	8	73	11	3	1	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	7	72	14	3	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	1	0	25	36	25	9	5.1

Students found the instructor to be extremely enthusiastic and the material very interesting.

### VIS 130H1Y Visual Strategies

Instructor(s): J. Tod

	Resp: 24							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	22	36	31	4	5.1
Explains	0	0	9	19	52	14	4	4.9
Communicates	0	0	0	9	18	40	31	6.0
Teaching	0	4	0	14	23	38	19	5.5
Workload	0	9	27	40	18	4	0	3.8
Difficulty	0	9	18	50	18	4	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	26	33	26	13	5.3

Many students felt that more feedback should have been given regarding grades. Students also said that more direction would have been beneficial on assignments. Overall, most said that Tod was a good teacher.

### VIS 201H1F Painting: Methods and Materials

Instructor(s): K. Andrews

	Resp: 18							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	16	33	33	16	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	11	38	33	16	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	5	17	23	52	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	5	0	38	55	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	38	38	16	5	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	11	50	38	0	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	25	8	8	58	6.0

Andrews was a wonderful and helpful instructor. His love for the material was very evident and contagious.

### VIS 202H1F Video: Production and Post-Production

Instructor(s): K. Tomczak

	Resp: 15							Retake: 88%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	20	53	26	0	5.1
Explains	0	0	0	14	50	35	0	5.2
Communicates	0	0	0	6	40	13	40	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	25	50	25	6.0
Workload	0	0	7	64	7	14	7	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	7	64	0	28	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	15	57	28	6.1

Some students thought the instructor was really great, giving them freedom to express their own thoughts.

### VIS 203H1F Print Media

Instructor(s): G. Hawken

	Resp: 18							Retake: 75%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	16	38	33	11	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	61	33	5	5.4
Communicates	0	0	5	0	33	44	16	5.7
Teaching	0	0	5	0	27	50	16	5.7
Workload	0	0	11	35	29	5	17	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	11	35	29	5	17	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	8	41	25	25	5.7

Although the instructor was helpful and informative, more class time would've been good. The instructor was readily available for consultation and easy to approach.

### VIS 204H1F 3D Installation

Instructor(s): S. Schelle

	Resp: 16							Retake: 81%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	37	43	18	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	25	12	31	31	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	37	50	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	25	50	25	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	31	43	18	6	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	25	31	37	6	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	25	50	8	16	5.2

Schelle was interesting and enthusiastic.

### VIS 208H1S Performance Art

Instructor(s): L. Lilliefeldt

	Resp: 13							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	23	23	38	15	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	7	30	38	23	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	38	53	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	8	33	33	25	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	53	23	23	0	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	7	46	15	30	0	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	33	22	44	6.1

Overall, students found the instructor to be extremely supportive and enthusiastic. Many said that it was one of the best classes they have ever taken at UofT.

### VIS 209H1S Women in Visual Art

Instructor(s): S. Schelle

	Resp: 16							Retake: 87%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	12	25	37	25	5.8
Explains	0	0	6	12	12	37	31	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	6	12	31	50	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	6	13	40	40	6.1
Workload	0	6	0	43	12	25	12	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	56	25	6	12	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	7	23	23	30	15	5.2

Students found this to be an interesting and challenging course. They found that the instructor really pushed them to work hard and improve themselves as artists.



## 68 FINE ART

### VIS 319H1S Defining Landscape

Instructor(s): S. Lloyd

	Enr: 25							Resp: 21							Retake: 94%							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	4	0	9	38	14	33	0	4	0	9	38	14	33	0	4	0	9	38	14	33	5.6
Explains	0	4	4	0	33	23	33	0	4	4	0	33	23	33	0	4	4	0	33	23	33	5.7
Communicates	4	0	0	14	19	28	33	4	0	0	14	19	28	33	4	0	0	14	19	28	33	5.6
Teaching	0	4	0	4	9	42	38	0	4	0	4	9	42	38	0	4	0	4	9	42	38	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	55	35	10	0	0	0	0	55	35	10	0	0	0	0	55	35	10	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	52	33	14	0	0	0	0	52	33	14	0	0	0	0	52	33	14	0	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	15	23	38	23	0	0	0	15	23	38	23	0	0	0	15	23	38	23	5.7

Overall, students enjoyed the course and found the feedback on assignments to be very helpful. Some students felt that the required readings were difficult and the discussions were often unfocused.

### VIS 320H1Y Critical Curatorial Lab

Instructor(s): L. Steele; K. Tomczak

	Enr: 17							Resp: 15							Retake: 100%							Mean	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
<u>Steele:</u>																							
Presents	0	6	6	26	40	20	0	0	6	6	26	40	20	0	0	6	6	26	40	20	0	4.6	
Explains	0	0	0	14	42	35	7	0	0	0	14	42	35	7	0	0	0	14	42	35	7	5.4	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	33	46	0	0	0	0	20	33	46	0	0	0	0	20	33	46	6.3	
Teaching	0	0	0	21	35	35	7	0	0	0	21	35	35	7	0	0	0	21	35	35	7	5.3	
<u>Tomczak:</u>																							
Presents	0	10	10	20	50	10	0	0	10	10	20	50	10	0	0	10	10	20	50	10	0	4.4	
Explains	0	0	0	20	40	30	10	0	0	0	20	40	30	10	0	0	0	20	40	30	10	5.3	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	50	30	0	0	0	0	20	50	30	0	0	0	0	20	50	30	6.1	
Teaching	0	0	0	20	50	20	10	0	0	0	20	50	20	10	0	0	0	20	50	20	10	5.2	
<u>Course:</u>																							
Workload	0	0	13	73	6	6	0	0	0	13	73	6	6	0	0	0	0	13	73	6	6	4.1	
Difficulty	0	0	0	73	13	13	0	0	0	0	73	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	73	13	13	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	10	30	30	30	0	0	0	10	30	30	30	0	0	0	10	30	30	30	5.8	

Students found this to be a very rewarding learning experience. They found the instructors to be very enthusiastic about the course material and genuinely interested in student projects. One complaint was that the instructors took a long time to get marks back.

### VIS 401H1F Thesis Text and Critique

Instructor(s): S. Schelle

	Enr: 20							Resp: 18							Retake: 92%							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	5	23	35	35	0	0	0	5	23	35	35	0	0	0	5	23	35	35	n/a
Explains	0	0	0	11	35	11	41	0	0	0	11	35	11	41	0	0	0	11	35	11	41	n/a
Communicates	0	0	0	0	35	11	52	0	0	0	0	35	11	52	0	0	0	0	35	11	52	n/a
Teaching	0	0	0	0	35	23	41	0	0	0	0	35	23	41	0	0	0	0	35	23	41	n/a
Workload	0	0	6	60	6	20	6	0	0	6	60	6	20	6	0	0	6	60	6	20	6	n/a
Difficulty	0	0	14	71	0	7	7	0	0	14	71	0	7	7	0	0	14	71	0	7	7	n/a
Learn Exp	0	0	0	25	8	33	33	0	0	0	25	8	33	33	0	0	0	25	8	33	33	n/a

Overall, students enjoyed this class very much. They found the instructor to be enthusiastic about the material and attentive to students' needs.

### VIS 402H1S Thesis Project

Instructor(s): K. Tomczak

	Enr: 20							Resp: 12							Retake: 100%							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	33	50	8	8	0	0	0	33	50	8	8	0	0	0	33	50	8	8	4.9
Explains	0	0	0	33	41	16	8	0	0	0	33	41	16	8	0	0	0	33	41	16	8	5.0
Communicates	0	0	0	8	25	41	25	0	0	0	8	25	41	25	0	0	0	8	25	41	25	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	8	25	58	8	0	0	0	8	25	58	8	0	0	0	8	25	58	8	5.7
Workload	0	0	0	8	41	25	25	0	0	0	8	41	25	25	0	0	0	8	41	25	25	5.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	33	33	33	0	0	0	0	33	33	33	0	0	0	0	33	33	33	0	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	50	25	25	0	0	0	0	50	25	25	0	0	0	0	50	25	25	5.8

Most students found the class to be an excellent learning experience. However, some thought that the instructor had too much control over projects. Students also would have appreciated more feedback regarding grades.

## FORESTRY COURSES

### FOR 200H1F Conservation of Temperate Forests

Instructor(s): J. Malcolm; R. Bryan

	Enr: 15							Resp: 13							Retake: 81%							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
<u>Malcolm:</u>																						
Presents	0	0	23	30	23	15	7	0	0	23	30	23	15	7	0	0	23	30	23	15	7	4.5
Explains	0	0	7	46	23	15	7	0	0	7	46	23	15	7	0	0	7	46	23	15	7	4.7
Communicates	0	0	0	8	50	25	16	0	0	0	8	50	25	16	0	0	0	8	50	25	16	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	33	25	33	8	0	0	0	33	25	33	8	0	0	0	33	25	33	8	5.2
<u>Bryan:</u>																						
Presents	0	0	0	15	46	23	15	0	0	0	15	46	23	15	0	0	0	15	46	23	15	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	7	30	46	15	0	0	0	7	30	46	15	0	0	0	7	30	46	15	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	45	45	9	0	0	0	0	45	45	9	0	0	0	0	45	45	9	5.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	27	54	18	0	0	0	0	27	54	18	0	0	0	0	27	54	18	5.9
<u>Course:</u>																						
Workload	0	0	23	61	7	0	7	0	0	23	61	7	0	7	0	0	23	61	7	0	7	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	16	75	8	0	0	0	0	16	75	8	0	0	0	0	16	75	8	0	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	50	30	10	10	0	0	0	50	30	10	10	0	0	0	50	30	10	10	4.8

### FOR 201H1S Conservation of Tropical and Subtropical

Instructor(s): S. Thomas; A. Kenney

	Enr: 44							Resp: 30							Retake: 76%							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
<u>Thomas:</u>																						
Presents	0	0	6	10	23	50	10	0	0	6	10	23	50	10	0	0	6	10	23	50	10	5.5
Explains	0	0	6	10	31	34	17	0	0	6	10	31	34	17	0	0	6	10	31	34	17	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	3	20	43	33	0	0	0	3	20	43	33	0	0	0	3	20	43	33	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	20	13	53	13	0	0	0	20	13	53	13	0	0	0	20	13	53	13	5.6
<u>Kenney:</u>																						
Presents	0	0	10	13	24	41	10	0	0	10	13	24	41	10	0	0	10	13	24	41	10	5.3
Explains	0	0	3	14	35	39	7	0	0	3	14	35	39	7	0	0	3	14	35	39	7	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	3	16	46	33	0	0	0	3	16	46	33	0	0	0	3	16	46	33	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	16	20	53	10	0	0	0	16	20	53	10	0	0	0	16	20	53	10	5.6
<u>Course:</u>																						
Workload	0	0	6	63	26	3	0	0	0	6	63	26	3	0	0	0	6	63	26	3	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	16	76	6	0	0	0	0	16	76	6	0	0	0	0	16	76	6	0	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	0	8	36	40	16	0	0	0	8	36	40	16	0	0	0	8	36	40	16	0	4.6

Overall, many students enjoyed the course. Both Thomas and Kenney were described as good lecturers.

Some students commented that there were too many time consuming assignments.