

Introduction

ASSU would like to thank the French Course Union (FCU) for summarizing the following evaluations. The FCU holds various events every year from academic seminars to socials. You can learn more about them by coming to the ASSU office or by emailing them at f_c_u20@hotmail.com

Editor

FCS 195H1S French Culture from Napoleon to Asterix

Instructor(s): H. Koo

Enr: 80		Resp: 54					Retake: 86%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	3	16	33	45	6.2	
Explains	0	0	1	7	12	38	38	6.1	
Communicates	0	0	0	1	12	27	57	6.4	
Teaching	0	0	0	9	14	40	35	6.0	
Workload	1	5	19	61	7	1	1	3.8	
Difficulty	1	13	26	52	3	0	1	3.5	
Learn Exp	0	0	6	27	25	21	19	5.2	

Students really enjoyed this instructor. They found her to be enthusiastic and caring. Generally, students felt that the course was interesting.

FCS 293H1F Women and the Arts in 19th Century France

Instructor(s): M. Irvine

Enr: 22		Resp: 21					Retake: 90%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	10	20	30	40	6.0	
Explains	0	0	0	9	19	23	47	6.1	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	14	14	71	6.6	
Teaching	0	0	0	4	9	28	57	6.4	
Workload	0	0	4	90	0	0	4	4.1	
Difficulty	0	0	25	75	0	0	0	3.8	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	21	28	35	14	5.4	

Irvine was praised for her enthusiasm and warmth. Students loved the discussions and many claimed it was their favourite course so far in their university career.

FCS 297H1S Comic Books and French Culture

Instructor(s): P. Bhatt

Enr: 62		Resp: 44					Retake: 90%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	6	20	38	34	6.0	
Explains	0	0	0	2	16	39	41	6.2	
Communicates	0	0	0	2	6	41	48	6.4	
Teaching	0	0	2	4	13	38	40	6.1	
Workload	2	4	20	65	4	0	2	3.8	
Difficulty	2	2	27	65	0	0	2	3.7	
Learn Exp	0	0	7	28	34	15	13	5.0	

Students found this instructor to be enjoyable with a great sense of humour.

FCS 331H1F Cinema and Literature in France

Instructor(s): D. De Kerckhove

Enr: 22		Resp: 19					Retake: 70%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	5	0	14	21	31	15	10	4.6	
Explains	0	5	10	15	31	21	15	5.0	
Communicates	0	0	0	5	5	21	68	6.5	
Teaching	0	5	0	10	21	36	26	5.6	
Workload	0	11	5	55	5	16	5	4.3	
Difficulty	0	10	5	42	21	10	0	4.2	
Learn Exp	7	7	0	7	53	15	7	4.7	

De Kerckhove was an extremely knowledgeable, funny and enthusiastic instructor. He was highly motivated and made the students interested in the material.

The discussion and debate sessions were lively and invigorating. The course could have been a little more organized. Some students were confused as to what was expected from the assignments.

FCS 390H1F Special Topics in French Cultural Studies II

Instructor(s): I. Nicolescu

Enr: 8		Resp: 8					Retake: 100%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	12	62	12	12	5.2	
Explains	0	0	0	37	0	50	12	5.4	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	25	75	6.8	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5	
Workload	0	0	12	75	0	12	0	4.1	
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	37	12	0	4.6	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	14	28	42	14	5.6	

Nicolescu was praised for her dedication to her students. Her students found her enthusiastic, helpful and interesting.

FCS 395H1S Sensuality and the French

Instructor(s): D. Clandfield

Enr: 104		Resp: 40					Retake: 77%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	5	20	33	17	23	5.3	
Explains	0	5	0	10	32	27	24	5.5	
Communicates	0	0	0	2	20	30	46	6.2	
Teaching	0	0	0	15	34	26	23	5.6	
Workload	5	0	20	58	12	2	0	3.8	
Difficulty	5	2	30	55	7	0	0	3.6	
Learn Exp	3	3	7	32	17	17	17	4.8	

Generally, an interesting course and an enthusiastic instructor. Students appreciated the instructor's use of visual aids. Some students complained about a lack of clarity regarding expectations for assignments and the marking of these assignments.

FCS 495H1F Global Impact of French Language and Culture

Instructor(s): J. Hanna

Enr: 12		Resp: 8					Retake: 100%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	37	50	12	5.8	
Explains	0	0	0	12	12	62	12	5.8	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	12	75	12	6.0	
Workload	0	0	0	62	12	25	0	4.6	
Difficulty	0	0	0	62	12	25	0	4.6	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	25	50	25	6.0	

FRE 140Y1Y The Pleasure of Reading

Instructor(s): T. El-Hoss

Enr: 24		Resp: 14					Retake: 92%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	7	64	28	6.2	
Explains	0	0	0	0	14	28	57	6.4	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	14	7	78	6.6	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	7	21	71	6.6	
Workload	0	0	0	64	21	14	0	4.5	
Difficulty	0	0	0	57	35	7	0	4.5	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	10	30	30	30	5.8	

Generally, an excellent instructor and course.

70 FRENCH

FRE 210Y1Y Introduction to Quebec Literature and Culture

Instructor(s): J. Leblanc

	Enr: 14							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	8	8	41	41	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	58	41	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	25	75	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	33	66	6.7
Workload	0	0	9	72	18	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	8	75	16	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	22	33	22	22	5.4

Students noted that Leblanc was an enthusiastic, supportive instructor who was available when the students needed her. Generally, the course was a positive experience.

FRE 240Y1Y An Introduction to Literary Analysis

Instructor(s): C. Vercollier

	Enr: 31							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	13	31	54	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	4	27	40	27	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	13	22	40	27	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	9	14	47	28	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	65	15	15	5	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	45	36	9	9	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	12	31	18	31	6	4.9

Some students praised her organized, well-prepared and interesting lectures. Others were frustrated by the difficult of the course. They felt that the required pre-requisites should have been more advanced courses to indicate the true difficulty level of this course. They complained about the disparate levels of French in class.

Instructor(s): A. Oliver

	Enr: 27							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	28	42	14	14	5.1
Explains	0	0	7	0	50	28	14	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	7	0	50	42	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	7	42	35	14	5.6
Workload	0	0	5	82	11	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	5	58	29	5	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	23	30	30	15	5.4

The instructor was very enthusiastic about his subject matter.

Instructor(s): A. Cozea

	Enr: 33							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	5	0	17	5	41	11	11	4.7
Explains	0	0	5	5	47	29	11	5.4
Communicates	0	0	6	0	12	25	56	6.2
Teaching	0	0	5	17	17	47	11	5.4
Workload	0	0	5	83	5	5	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	55	33	11	0	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	7	7	46	23	15	5.3

Students found the instructor to be very enthusiastic. Some students commented on the excellent choice of texts.

FRE 250Y1Y Literary History in Context

Instructor(s): F. Collins

	Enr: 20							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	11	38	38	11	5.5

Explains	0	0	0	5	16	50	27	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	11	38	50	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	66	27	6.2
Workload	0	5	17	64	5	5	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	76	23	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	11	33	22	22	11	4.9

Collins was knowledgeable, approachable and understanding. His enthusiasm, sense of humour and interesting digressions made for a great class.

FRE 272Y1Y The Structure of Modern French: An Introduction

Instructor(s): P. Bhatt

	Enr: 40							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	3	3	29	64	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	3	6	41	48	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	3	9	25	61	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	3	46	50	6.5
Workload	0	0	12	64	16	3	3	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	3	54	29	9	3	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	60	16	20	4	4.7

Bhatt was described as organized, approachable, enthusiastic and one of the best at UofT. The material, however, was said to be dull. Also, the tests were based on memorization.

Instructor(s): O. Malet

	Enr: 35							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	3	3	10	23	30	30	5.6
Explains	0	3	0	10	20	23	43	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	3	10	20	66	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	3	20	33	43	6.2
Workload	0	0	3	79	13	0	3	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	40	3	6	4.7
Learn Exp	0	4	4	34	17	21	17	5.0

A very good, interesting instructor who was very enthusiastic, with a great sense of humour.

FRE 273Y1Y General History of the French Language

Instructor(s): B. Merrilees

	Enr: 28							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	21	47	26	5	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	11	27	38	22	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	5	0	21	73	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	52	42	6.4
Workload	0	0	5	88	5	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	33	55	11	0	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	18	43	25	12	5.3

Students found that Merrilees was very good and enthusiastic about his subject material.

FRE 277Y1Y Orthoepy

Instructor(s): J. Steele

	Enr: 22							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	9	0	63	27	6.1
Explains	0	0	9	0	18	45	27	5.8
Communicates	0	0	9	9	36	27	18	5.4
Teaching	0	0	9	0	9	63	18	5.8
Workload	0	0	27	54	18	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	18	54	9	9	9	4.4
Learn Exp	0	12	0	0	62	25	0	4.9

For the most part, students found Steele to be an extremely helpful and very good instructor.

Instructor(s): J. Steele

	Enr: 18							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	23	53	23	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	23	61	15	5.9
Communicates	0	0	7	7	15	38	30	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	15	53	30	6.2
Workload	0	7	0	84	7	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	7	0	53	30	0	7	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	40	10	30	5.5

An enthusiastic, approachable and helpful instructor. However, some noted that they did not use their texts at all and quite a few noted that a break during the 2-hour class would have been greatly appreciated.

FRE 304H1S Women Writers

Instructor(s): B. Havercroft

	Enr: 28							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	18	45	36	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	8	0	454	36	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	33	58	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	9	63	27	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	61	15	23	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	63	18	9	9	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	22	44	0	33	5.4

A very good and enthusiastic instructor.

FRE 317H1F Explorations of Self in Contemporary Quebec Theatre

Instructor(s): M. Charlebois

	Enr: 12							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	10	10	30	10	30	10	4.7
Explains	0	0	0	44	22	22	11	5.0
Communicates	0	0	0	10	20	50	20	5.8
Teaching	11	0	0	11	33	22	22	5.1
Workload	0	11	0	66	11	11	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	12	12	62	0	12	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	66	0	16	16	4.8

Some students complained that the course expectations were unclear and the material was not presented in an organized fashion.

FRE 324Y1Y The 19th Century: The Age of Revolutions

Instructor(s): C. Vercollier

	Enr: 31							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	4	12	16	44	24	5.7
Explains	0	0	3	15	42	19	19	5.3
Communicates	0	0	4	12	37	37	8	5.3
Teaching	0	0	0	23	34	26	15	5.3
Workload	0	0	0	69	23	7	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	3	65	26	3	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	5	45	35	5	10	4.7

Most students thought that the instructor was organized and enthusiastic. A few students thought that Vercollier spoke too quickly. Others would have liked to have submitted work earlier in the course so that they could have a sense of their progress.

Instructor(s): A. Oliver

	Enr: 26							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	7	21	50	7	14	5.0
Explains	0	0	0	6	26	40	26	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	14	50	35	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	6	53	20	20	5.5
Workload	0	0	0	58	23	11	5	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	5	70	11	5	5	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	40	33	6	20	5.1

FRE 367H1F Studies in the 20th Century French Novel I

Instructor(s): A. Cozea

	Enr: 17							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	7	0	0	7	30	30	23	5.4
Explains	7	0	0	0	15	30	46	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	7	0	7	84	6.7
Teaching	7	0	0	0	0	46	46	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	61	38	0	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	38	46	15	0	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	50	25	25	5.8

Students found Cozea enthusiastic and approachable. They found the reading material enjoyable and felt Cozea brought a philosophical aspect to the course.

FRE 375Y1Y Comparative Stylistics

Instructor(s): J. Hanna

	Enr: 29							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	8	8	29	41	12	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	13	8	30	47	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	25	62	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	12	20	37	29	5.8
Workload	0	4	4	56	26	8	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	4	26	52	17	0	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	29	5	47	17	5.5

An enthusiastic, knowledgeable instructor. Students generally enjoyed Hanna, however, a few felt that the expectations were too high.

Instructor(s): F. Collins

	Enr: 33							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	4	4	41	37	12	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	8	13	39	39	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	45	54	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	12	45	41	6.3
Workload	8	0	16	54	8	12	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	9	59	22	9	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	5	20	20	35	20	5.4

A very good, enthusiastic and knowledgeable instructor.

FRE 376H1F French Phonology and Phonetics

Instructor(s): P. Bhatt

	Enr: 23							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	11	88	6.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	5	27	66	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	27	66	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	27	72	6.7
Workload	0	0	0	50	50	0	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	27	22	0	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	29	29	29	11	5.2

74 FRENCH

Workload	0	0	10	60	25	0	5	4.3
Difficulty	0	5	10	55	20	10	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	6	20	26	26	20	5.3

Watanabe was a very good, enthusiastic and helpful teacher who managed to keep classes interesting. The tutorials, however, were badly organized and relatively useless.

Instructor(s): B. Havercroft

Enr: 23	Resp: 15							Retake: 73%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	57	42	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	7	61	30	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	14	14	50	21	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	7	64	28	6.2
Workload	0	0	7	78	7	7	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	7	85	0	7	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	40	10	50	0	5.1

FSL 161Y1Y Practical French

Instructor(s): S. Sonina

Enr: 31	Resp: 24							Retake: 78%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	16	16	33	20	12	5.0
Explains	0	0	4	8	45	16	25	5.5
Communicates	0	0	4	4	16	45	29	5.9
Teaching	0	0	4	12	33	33	16	5.5
Workload	4	4	12	79	0	0	0	3.7
Difficulty	0	8	8	83	0	0	0	3.8
Learn Exp	0	9	14	42	19	14	0	4.1

Sonina was approachable and understanding. However, she did not always seem clear with the material and could have challenged the class more. A few felt that more emphasis should have been placed on grammar.

Instructor(s): R. Wooldridge

Enr: 30	Resp: 25							Retake: 75%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	4	0	0	25	29	29	12	5.1
Explains	4	0	0	20	41	16	16	5.1
Communicates	0	0	0	8	33	33	25	5.8
Teaching	4	0	0	8	33	45	8	5.4
Workload	4	0	50	41	4	0	0	3.4
Difficulty	4	0	36	56	0	4	0	3.6
Learn Exp	10	5	10	50	25	0	0	3.8

FSL 163H1S Practical French: Oral

Instructor(s): D. De Kerckhove

Enr: 18	Resp: 15							Retake: 78%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	13	33	40	6	6	4.6
Explains	0	0	6	13	26	40	13	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	26	13	60	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	13	26	13	46	5.9
Workload	20	6	33	33	6	0	0	3.0
Difficulty	0	0	40	40	20	0	0	3.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	45	0	36	18	5.3

Students really enjoyed this instructor and commented on his enthusiasm. Many students enjoyed the class discussions and felt that their French had improved due to this course.

Instructor(s): D. Scheffel-Dunand

Enr: 15	Resp: 12							Retake: 60%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	9	36	45	0	9	4.6
Explains	0	0	0	27	18	45	9	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	36	27	36	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	10	30	30	30	5.8
Workload	10	10	20	50	0	10	0	3.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	80	10	0	10	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	11	33	11	22	22	5.1

Scheffel-Dunand was described as friendly and approachable. While most students appreciated the instructor's flexibility in accepting course work, some found that accommodating everyone's needs led to some degree of disorganization. Overall, the course was described as engaging and interactive.

FSL 181Y1Y Language Practice I

Instructor(s): B. Bolduc; S. Mastromonaco

Enr: 27	Resp: 19							Retake: 42%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
<u>Bolduc:</u>								
Presents	0	10	0	10	42	31	5	5.0
Explains	0	0	10	10	47	21	10	5.1
Communicates	0	0	5	0	15	47	31	6.0
Teaching	0	0	5	15	36	26	15	5.3
<u>Mastromonaco:</u>								
Presents	0	0	0	15	42	42	0	5.3
Explains	0	0	0	21	26	47	5	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	5	10	42	42	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	5	31	42	21	5.8
<u>Course:</u>								
Workload	0	0	0	21	26	31	21	5.5
Difficulty	0	0	10	31	26	21	10	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	23	29	11	17	17	4.8

Bolduc was described as organized and knowledgeable. The course however, was criticized based on the inconsistency arising from too many instructors with each having different expectations.

Mastromonaco was cheerful and enthusiastic in her work. Students felt that the use of more exercises and visual aids would have been helpful.

The course was said to be challenging and tests were not always representative of material used in texts. Students would have liked to see more consistency between instructors and TAs.

Instructor(s): B. Bolduc

Enr: 35	Resp: 28							Retake: 67%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	7	17	21	39	10	5.2
Explains	0	7	7	3	21	42	17	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	3	25	50	21	5.9
Teaching	0	0	7	7	25	39	21	5.6
Workload	0	0	0	32	28	17	21	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	35	32	21	10	5.1
Learn Exp	0	5	15	15	20	35	10	4.9

Bolduc was enthusiastic. The class size was too big which took away from the language practice. The class was also described as very demanding: lots of work and a high expectation of French language prior to beginning the course. Many students felt the L2 section was not helpful and could have been spent more effectively to help improve students' performance and weaknesses.

FSL 261Y1Y Practical French II

Instructor(s): H. Pagan

	Resp: 25							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	12	40	40	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	4	36	36	24	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	4	4	16	76	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	16	28	56	6.4
Workload	0	0	16	64	16	4	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	20	68	12	0	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	50	20	10	5.2

Pagan was an excellent instructor who expressed great enthusiasm towards the subject. Her classes were both interesting and enjoyable. Her use of summary sheets in addition to the textbook made learning the grammar much easier.

Some students thought that the grammar lessons were taught at too fast a pace. The class was little too big, and not everyone was able to fit in the computer lab.

Instructor(s): M. Charlebois

	Resp: 16							Retake: 68%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	33	26	26	6	4.9
Explains	0	0	12	25	37	18	6	4.8
Communicates	0	0	6	25	31	18	18	5.2
Teaching	0	0	0	25	25	37	12	5.4
Workload	0	12	12	75	0	0	0	3.6
Difficulty	0	6	12	50	31	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	7	57	21	14	0	4.4

Charlebois was a good instructor who was able to elicit full class participation. Some students felt that the large class size took away from the quality of the learning experience. Additionally, students generally felt that more emphasis on grammar and writing would have been beneficial.

Instructor(s): S. Joseph

	Resp: 33							Retake: 68%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	12	51	36	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	3	18	42	36	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	3	0	15	81	6.8
Teaching	0	0	3	6	15	40	34	6.0
Workload	0	3	12	72	6	3	3	4.0
Difficulty	0	6	6	66	12	0	9	4.2
Learn Exp	0	3	11	40	29	11	3	4.4

Students generally preferred this semester's class over the last. Joseph was described as approachable, well-organized, enthusiastic and innovative. She created an "intense french environment" which resulted in an excellent learning experience.

In the future, students would like to see the use of laboratories and more emphasis on the oral component.

FSL 266H1F Reading French

Instructor(s): M.A. Visoi

	Resp: 37							Retake: 77%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	2	2	2	35	26	26	2	4.7
Explains	2	0	8	25	31	22	8	4.9
Communicates	2	2	5	11	23	41	11	5.2
Teaching	2	0	8	5	42	31	8	5.1
Workload	3	9	21	54	9	3	0	3.7
Difficulty	6	9	15	50	12	6	0	3.7
Learn Exp	0	0	20	32	12	20	16	4.8

Most students were frustrated with the wide range of French language skills among students in the class. They found it unfair and it

resulted in disorganization. Students did not blame the instructor for these problems. In fact, most felt she did well despite the difficult circumstances.

FSL 281Y1Y Language Practice II: Written and Oral French

Instructor(s): C. Ionescu

	Resp: 22							Retake: 86%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	18	45	31	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	9	13	22	54	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	4	22	27	45	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	0	13	36	50	6.4
Workload	0	0	4	47	28	14	4	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	4	50	31	13	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	22	38	27	11	5.3

Students thought she was an excellent instructor.

Instructor(s): S. Farsandaj

	Resp: 22							Retake: 81%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	18	4	27	22	27	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	13	27	31	27	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	9	9	27	54	6.3
Teaching	0	4	4	9	13	36	31	5.7
Workload	0	4	4	45	18	18	9	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	20	45	35	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	5	23	35	29	5	5.1

Students generally commented that the instructor seemed to really care for the students and wanted them all to do well in the course.

Instructor(s): M.A. Visoi

	Resp: 14							Retake: 92%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	21	71	7	0	4.9
Explains	0	0	7	7	69	15	0	4.9
Communicates	0	0	7	14	42	28	7	5.1
Teaching	0	0	7	7	28	42	14	5.5
Workload	0	0	14	64	21	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	14	71	14	0	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	18	45	27	9	0	4.3

Students found the topics of conversation interesting, but felt that the class was too strictly regimented. Students found Visoi a good and interesting instructor.

FSL 361Y1Y Practical French III

Instructor(s): K. Zawada

	Resp: 23							Retake: 95%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	4	4	13	34	21	21	5.3
Explains	0	4	4	22	22	31	13	5.1
Communicates	0	4	0	17	13	34	30	5.7
Teaching	0	4	0	18	31	27	18	5.3
Workload	0	13	13	68	4	0	0	3.6
Difficulty	0	8	13	65	8	4	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	0	6	43	25	25	0	4.7

Students found the instructor delightful and enthusiastic. Some students would have preferred more emphasis on grammar and the use of a textbook in class.

Workload	0	0	0	66	16	0	16	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	0	33	16	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	25	50	25	6.0

All students described the course as an intense and profitable experience. The instructor was challenging, but was said to be "a cut above the average."

FSL 483H1S Language Practice IV: Oral French

Instructor(s): D. Scheffel-Dunand

Enr: 12	Resp: 10							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	10	0	10	10	40	30	5.6
Explains	0	10	0	10	0	30	50	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	10	10	30	50	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	60	40	6.4
Workload	0	0	20	50	20	10	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	20	60	10	10	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	33	16	16	5.2

Scheffel-Dunand was knowledgeable and enthusiastic. The course was very useful.