



Introduction

ASSU would like to thank the Commerce Student's Association for their work in getting these evaluations packaged, delivered and summarized. The CSA hosts various events throughout the year geared towards Commerce students - you can check them out at <http://www.utoronto.ca/csa/>

Editor

MGT 120H1S Financial Accounting I

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 322 Resp: 54 Retake: 67%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	1	3	5	13	27	31	15	5.2
Explains	1	3	11	13	33	24	11	4.9
Communicates	5	1	11	15	26	16	22	5.0
Teaching	3	1	7	13	26	24	22	5.2
Workload	5	0	3	45	27	9	7	4.5
Difficulty	4	0	4	34	36	12	8	4.7
Learn Exp	5	11	11	28	11	22	8	4.3

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 317 Resp: 55 Retake: 66%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	7	1	7	22	24	18	16	4.8
Explains	7	1	13	15	21	25	13	4.7
Communicates	7	0	13	21	19	19	19	4.8
Teaching	9	0	9	20	20	29	11	4.8
Workload	3	1	9	33	29	17	3	4.5
Difficulty	3	3	1	37	25	25	1	4.6
Learn Exp	13	0	8	24	21	24	8	4.5

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 328 Resp: 102 Retake: 71%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	1	3	25	29	26	11	5.0
Explains	2	2	5	29	30	24	7	4.8
Communicates	2	3	5	26	29	25	9	4.9
Teaching	3	2	5	19	30	28	11	5.0
Workload	1	3	5	50	26	11	2	4.4
Difficulty	1	2	5	40	32	13	4	4.6
Learn Exp	2	4	1	39	32	13	6	4.6

MGT 220H1S Financial Accounting II

Instructor(s): C. Marino

Enr: 30 Resp: 17 Retake: 60%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	0	31	31	31	5.8
Explains	0	0	6	12	25	31	25	5.6
Communicates	0	0	6	6	25	18	43	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	12	25	25	37	5.9
Workload	0	0	6	25	56	12	0	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	6	20	60	13	0	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	30	30	30	7	5.2

Marino was enthusiastic and answered questions with patience and good examples.

Instructor(s): C. Reed

Enr: 27 Resp: 16 Retake: 57%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	6	6	12	25	6	37	6	4.6
Explains	0	0	6	12	37	31	12	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	6	18	43	31	6.0
Teaching	6	6	0	12	12	37	25	5.3
Workload	0	0	0	37	18	37	6	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	18	43	25	12	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	35	35	21	7	5.0

The instructor was very enthusiastic and approachable, but lectures tended to be a little disorganized.

MGT 223H1F Management Accounting I

Instructor(s): C. Reed

Enr: 53 Resp: 24 Retake: 80%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	8	4	20	16	20	20	8	4.3
Explains	8	13	0	17	30	17	13	4.5
Communicates	4	0	0	12	8	33	41	5.9
Teaching	4	8	8	8	25	16	29	5.1
Workload	0	0	4	54	29	8	4	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	20	41	29	4	4	4.3
Learn Exp	0	11	0	33	16	38	0	4.7

Having more class discussion about problems and solutions posted online would have been helpful.

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 54 Resp: 31 Retake: 77%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	6	43	23	20	5.4
Explains	0	3	3	6	40	26	20	5.4
Communicates	0	0	3	20	26	30	20	5.4
Teaching	0	3	0	19	25	25	25	5.5
Workload	0	0	3	60	30	3	3	4.4
Difficulty	0	3	3	59	25	3	6	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	11	23	35	23	5	4.9

The instructor was very friendly and enthusiastic. The breakdown of the course was also very clear and concepts were explained in great detail.

Instructor(s): C. Reed

Enr: 55 Resp: 27 Retake: 61%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	11	22	25	40	0	5.0
Explains	0	0	3	18	37	37	3	5.2
Communicates	0	0	3	3	22	51	18	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	14	33	40	11	5.5
Workload	0	0	3	48	37	11	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	3	48	33	11	3	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	9	33	42	14	0	4.6

The instructor was very nice and approachable. The teaching assistants were very rude and did not explain concepts clearly.

Instructor(s): C. Reed

Enr: 46 Resp: 15 Retake: 53%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	6	6	26	46	0	13	4.7
Explains	0	0	0	35	35	14	14	5.1
Communicates	0	0	0	33	13	20	33	5.5
Teaching	0	0	6	13	46	20	13	5.2
Workload	0	0	0	53	33	6	6	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	6	60	26	0	6	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	69	23	7	0	4.4

148 MANAGEMENT

The tutorials were not very helpful and were essentially to copy the solutions to problems.

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

	Enr: 52							Mean
	Resp: 29							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	14	25	25	35	5.8
Explains	0	0	3	10	21	35	28	5.8
Communicates	0	0	3	10	28	25	32	5.7
Teaching	0	0	6	10	24	27	31	5.7
Workload	3	3	0	48	10	27	6	4.7
Difficulty	3	3	10	24	34	17	6	4.6
Learn Exp	4	4	8	20	33	29	0	4.6

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

	Enr: 46							Mean
	Resp: 11							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	27	27	45	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	18	63	18	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	18	36	36	9	5.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	45	54	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	63	18	9	9	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	9	54	18	9	9	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	44	33	22	0	4.8

Bertrand was described as an excellent instructor. His strength lies in his effective explanations and lectures.

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

	Enr: 52							Mean
	Resp: 18							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	5	11	22	38	22	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	16	22	44	16	5.6
Communicates	0	0	5	16	27	27	22	5.4
Teaching	5	0	0	5	22	44	22	5.6
Workload	0	0	5	50	16	11	16	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	11	38	27	11	11	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	8	50	16	8	16	4.8

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

	Enr: 55							Mean
	Resp: 16							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	6	0	6	25	18	43	5.8
Explains	0	0	6	0	18	25	50	6.1
Communicates	0	6	0	12	12	37	31	5.7
Teaching	0	0	6	0	18	37	37	6.0
Workload	0	0	6	31	50	6	6	4.8
Difficulty	0	6	12	37	31	12	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	8	0	16	33	33	8	5.1

Bertrand was able to explain concepts very effectively and communicated new ideas with great success.

MGT 322H1F Financial Accounting Theory and Policy II

Instructor(s): J. Amernic

	Enr: 48							Mean
	Resp: 37							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	2	8	16	24	27	21	5.3
Explains	0	0	2	18	13	40	24	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	5	89	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	8	24	43	24	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	29	24	18	27	5.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	8	32	35	24	5.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	36	16	36	12	5.2

The instructor was very enthusiastic about the course material.

However, the concepts were very difficult and there was too much required reading. A tutorial would have been very helpful in explaining difficult concepts.

Instructor(s): J. Amernic

	Enr: 55							Mean
	Resp: 33							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	3	0	9	6	12	33	36	5.7
Explains	3	0	6	3	24	45	18	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	3	6	9	81	6.7
Teaching	0	3	3	6	18	42	27	5.8
Workload	0	0	3	18	30	33	15	5.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	9	39	27	24	5.7
Learn Exp	3	6	3	24	37	17	6	4.7

The instructor was very nice and enthusiastic. The textbook material did not truly coincide with the course and the evaluations. The instructor should have cut down on the number of handouts.

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

	Enr: 35							Mean
	Resp: 12							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	8	16	50	25	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	66	33	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	16	16	41	25	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	8	8	50	33	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	58	0	41	0	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	41	16	41	0	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	30	40	20	10	5.1

Bertrand was known for having well planned lectures and providing extra examples to simplify difficult concepts.

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

	Enr: 51							Mean
	Resp: 32							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	3	9	28	43	15	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	6	25	43	25	5.9
Communicates	0	0	3	9	34	34	18	5.6
Teaching	0	0	0	3	31	53	12	5.8
Workload	0	0	6	58	25	9	7	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	51	29	16	3	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	47	34	13	4	4.7

Lectures were effective and interesting. Bertrand explained concepts very well and was very likeable.

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

	Enr: 22							Mean
	Resp: 19							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	5	5	16	38	33	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	11	22	27	38	5.9
Communicates	0	0	5	15	36	31	10	5.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	36	36	26	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	52	26	10	10	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	33	33	22	11	5.1
Learn Exp	0	0	15	7	38	30	7	5.1

The instructor explained concepts well in an interactive class.

MGT 323H1F Management Accounting II

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

	Enr: 48							Mean
	Resp: 26							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	3	23	34	23	15	5.2
Explains	0	0	4	12	37	29	16	5.4
Communicates	3	0	3	11	30	26	23	5.4

Teaching	0	0	3	3	38	38	15	5.6
Workload	0	0	0	48	16	20	16	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	16	60	16	4	4	4.2
Learn Exp	5	0	0	50	22	22	0	4.5

The instructor was good at explaining concepts but the breakdown of the grading could have been structured better.

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 50	Resp: 21							Retake: 62%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	33	19	28	14	5.1
Explains	0	0	0	45	20	20	15	5.1
Communicates	0	0	0	32	23	19	19	5.2
Teaching	0	0	0	23	33	28	14	5.3
Workload	4	4	4	47	23	4	9	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	14	52	33	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	15	0	7	61	15	0	0	3.6

MGT 330H1S Investments

Instructor(s): K. Q. Wang

Enr: 53	Resp: 27							Retake: 90%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	22	40	33	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	3	33	29	33	5.9
Communicates	0	0	3	0	14	33	48	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	7	48	44	6.4
Workload	0	0	8	48	32	8	4	4.5
Difficulty	0	4	4	48	32	12	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	5	25	45	25	5.9

The instructor was organized, nice and he explained concepts clearly.

Instructor(s): K. Q. Wang

Enr: 56	Resp: 35							Retake: 97%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	14	45	40	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	5	34	22	37	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	2	17	31	48	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	2	14	45	37	6.2
Workload	0	0	2	67	17	8	2	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	26	17	5	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	26	33	26	13	5.3

Wang was described as a great instructor who showed enthusiasm for the material taught. Overall, students were quite satisfied with his teaching, however, sometimes his notes lacked clarity.

Instructor(s): K. Q. Wang

Enr: 52	Resp: 24							Retake: 91%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	4	39	56	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	4	8	50	37	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	4	4	45	45	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	4	52	43	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	52	21	21	4	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	45	33	16	4	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	35	29	29	5	5.1

Wang was described as being very well organized and showing passion in his teaching. For this, students found the class interesting.

Instructor(s): K. Q. Wang

Enr: 56	Resp: 52							Retake: 89%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	7	41	45	6.3
Explains	0	1	0	3	23	41	29	5.9

Communicates	0	0	0	8	12	32	48	6.2
Teaching	0	0	2	2	14	36	46	6.2
Workload	0	1	1	54	25	13	1	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	7	36	38	11	5	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	17	26	36	19	5.6

Overall, students really enjoyed having Wang as a teacher. He proved to be a very effective lecturer but could have added value to his class notes by providing more thorough explanations.

Instructor(s): K. Q. Wang

Enr: 51	Resp: 32							Retake: 96%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	15	28	56	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	6	15	25	53	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	14	31	56	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	21	18	59	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	62	31	6	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	3	0	40	40	15	0	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	26	30	15	26	5.4

Overall, Wang's students spoke very highly of him. Wang was described as very knowledgeable and did a great job of keeping his students involved through email.

MGT 337Y1Y Business Finance

Instructor(s): M. Rahaman

Enr: 56	Resp: 31							Retake: 72%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	6	25	61	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	9	3	25	61	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	3	12	22	61	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	6	6	25	61	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	50	33	13	3	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	20	43	33	3	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	4	20	41	20	12	5.2

The instructor was excellent and very organized in teaching the class. The lectures notes were excellent and the textbook was not even required for studying. The tutorials could have been scheduled better because so many students had conflicts and could not attend the tutorials.

Instructor(s): M. Rahaman

Enr: 56	Resp: 47							Retake: 66%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	6	22	71	6.7
Explains	0	0	0	2	4	24	69	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	4	2	24	69	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	4	22	73	6.7
Workload	0	0	8	46	20	16	8	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	4	18	30	34	12	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	5	30	33	30	5.9

The instructor was excellent and was very effective at communicating the course material. The lecture notes were very good.

Instructor(s): L. Florence

Enr: 41	Resp: 33							Retake: 61%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	15	51	30	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	12	39	48	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	46	50	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	3	9	50	37	6.2
Workload	0	0	3	25	40	28	3	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	3	12	28	40	15	5.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	39	34	13	13	5.0

Florence was approachable and well liked. He communicated concepts

150 MANAGEMENT

effectively and used interesting examples.

Instructor(s): L. Florence

	Enr: 45							Resp: 39							Retake: 59%							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	21	23	31	23	0	0	0	21	23	31	23	0	0	0	21	23	31	23	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	8	16	36	38	0	0	0	8	16	36	38	0	0	0	8	16	36	38	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	5	13	42	39	0	0	0	5	13	42	39	0	0	0	5	13	42	39	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	7	21	44	26	0	0	0	7	21	44	26	0	0	0	7	21	44	26	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	31	26	31	10	0	0	0	31	26	31	10	0	0	0	31	26	31	10	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	17	25	35	20	0	0	0	17	25	35	20	0	0	0	17	25	35	20	5.6
Learn Exp	0	0	10	32	28	25	3	0	0	10	32	28	25	3	0	0	10	32	28	25	3	4.8

The course was very difficult but the instructor was enthusiastic and well-liked.

Instructor(s): M. Stapleton

	Enr: 46							Resp: 16							Retake: 78%							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	25	25	31	18	0	0	0	25	25	31	18	0	0	0	25	25	31	18	5.4
Explains	0	0	12	18	31	18	18	0	0	12	18	31	18	18	0	0	12	18	31	18	18	5.1
Communicates	0	0	6	12	25	25	31	0	0	6	12	25	25	31	0	0	6	12	25	25	31	5.6
Teaching	0	0	12	6	31	31	18	0	0	12	6	31	31	18	0	0	12	6	31	31	18	5.4
Workload	0	0	0	13	46	33	6	0	0	0	13	46	33	6	0	0	0	13	46	33	6	5.3
Difficulty	6	0	0	13	20	40	20	6	0	0	13	20	40	20	6	0	0	13	20	40	20	5.4
Learn Exp	0	0	9	27	18	27	18	0	0	9	27	18	27	18	0	0	9	27	18	27	18	5.2

MGT 353H1F Introduction to Marketing Management

Instructor(s): A. Goldfarb

	Enr: 52							Resp: 31							Retake: 92%							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	6	3	35	41	12	0	0	6	3	35	41	12	0	0	6	3	35	41	12	5.5
Explains	0	0	6	3	12	41	35	0	0	6	3	12	41	35	0	0	6	3	12	41	35	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	3	16	32	48	0	0	0	3	16	32	48	0	0	0	3	16	32	48	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	9	19	38	32	0	0	0	9	19	38	32	0	0	0	9	19	38	32	5.9
Workload	3	0	10	60	23	3	0	3	0	10	60	23	3	0	3	0	10	60	23	3	0	4.1
Difficulty	3	3	6	64	16	3	3	3	3	6	64	16	3	3	3	3	6	64	16	3	3	4.1
Learn Exp	4	0	0	4	36	32	24	4	0	0	4	36	32	24	4	0	0	4	36	32	24	5.6

Goldfarb was well spoken and provided examples which paralleled the course material.

The material was great. Some students mentioned that the class discussions and group presentations were very impressive. Some students also felt that the class participation weight was too high and feared that they did not speak enough.

Instructor(s): A. Wojnicki

	Enr: 43							Resp: 24							Retake: 87%							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	4	12	45	37	0	0	0	4	12	45	37	0	0	0	4	12	45	37	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	25	45	29	0	0	0	0	25	45	29	0	0	0	0	25	45	29	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	4	16	29	50	0	0	0	4	16	29	50	0	0	0	4	16	29	50	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	4	20	33	41	0	0	0	4	20	33	41	0	0	0	4	20	33	41	6.1
Workload	0	4	8	58	25	4	0	0	4	8	58	25	4	0	0	4	8	58	25	4	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	4	4	78	8	4	0	0	4	4	78	8	4	0	0	4	4	78	8	4	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	10	20	25	35	10	0	0	10	20	25	35	10	0	0	10	20	25	35	10	5.2

Wojnicki was enthusiastic and the lectures were interesting. She cared about the students and their continuing success.

Instructor(s): A. Wojnicki

	Enr: 39							Resp: 33							Retake: 96%							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	12	30	57	0	0	0	0	12	30	57	0	0	0	0	12	30	57	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	3	3	36	57	0	0	0	3	3	36	57	0	0	0	3	3	36	57	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	33	60	0	0	0	0	6	33	60	0	0	0	0	6	33	60	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	3	34	62	0	0	0	0	3	34	62	0	0	0	0	3	34	62	6.6

Workload	0	0	33	54	6	6	0	3.8
Difficulty	0	6	12	57	21	3	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	18	18	63	6.5

Wojnicki was very enthusiastic with a great personality. The course workload was lower than most other courses. There were great class discussions and guest speakers. The instructor was very committed and extremely well liked.

MGT 371H1F Introduction to Business Information Systems

Instructor(s): N. Hope

	Enr: 54							Resp: 37							Retake: 33%							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	5	5	8	34	14	22	8	5	5	8	34	14	22	8	5	5	8	34	14	22	8	4.5
Explains	8	2	0	32	24	18	13	8	2	0	32	24	18	13	8	2	0	32	24	18	13	4.7
Communicates	2	8	2	24	27	27	8	2	8	2	24	27	27	8	2	8	2	24	27	27	8	4.8
Teaching	13	2	2	16	35	24	5	13	2	2	16	35	24	5	13	2	2	16	35	24	5	4.5
Workload	2	0	16	41	19	16	2	2	0	16	41	19	16	2	2	0	16	41	19	16	2	4.4
Difficulty	2	0	8	50	13	22	2	2	0	8	50	13	22	2	2	0	8	50	13	22	2	4.5
Learn Exp	25	3	6	45	9	9	0	25	3	6	45	9	9	0	25	3	6	45	9	9	0	3.4

Some students did not enjoy the material. The material was not presented in a clear manner which made the classes hard to follow. Some students also felt that the course lacked structure. Students felt that the class started too early and that class participation grades were too subjective. It was noted that the instructor talked in terms that went over some students' heads.

Instructor(s): N. Hope

	Enr: 50							Resp: 24							Retake: 36%							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	4	16	25	33	20	0	0	4	16	25	33	20	0	0	4	16	25	33	20	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	20	20	45	12	0	0	0	20	20	45	12	0	0	0	20	20	45	12	5.5
Communicates	4	0	0	8	25	33	29	4	0	0	8	25	33	29	4	0	0	8	25	33	29	5.7
Teaching	0	4	0	16	25	41	12	0	4	0	16	25	41	12	0	4	0	16	25	41	12	5.4
Workload	0	0	4	47	34	13	0	0	0	4	47	34	13	0	0	0	4	47	34	13	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	8	54	12	16	8	0	0	8	54	12	16	8	0	0	8	54	12	16	8	4.6
Learn Exp	0	6	6	40	33	6	6	0	6	6	40	33	6	6	0	6	6	40	33	6	6	4.5

Students appreciated the quick responses they received via email. They also commented that Hope knew the material very well and that it was evident in her teaching. The only negative comment was that the class was too early in the morning.

Instructor(s): N. Hope

	Enr: 40							Resp: 14							Retake: 42%							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	7	14	35	28	14	0	0	7	14	35	28	14	0	0	7	14	35	28	14	5.3
Explains	0	0	0	21	28	21	28	0	0	0	21	28	21	28	0	0	0	21	28	21	28	5.6
Communicates	0	0	7	14	0	50	28	0	0	7	14	0	50	28	0	0	7	14	0	50	28	5.8
Teaching	0	7	0	14	14	50	14	0	7	0	14	14	50	14	0	7	0	14	14	50	14	5.4
Workload	0	0	21	28	50	0	0	0	0	21	28	50	0	0	0	0	21	28	50	0	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	7	50	28	14	0	0	0	7	50	28	14	0	0	0	7	50	28	14	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	7	53	38	0	0	0	0	7	53	38	0	0	0	0	7	53	38	0	0	4.3

Instructor(s): N. Hope

	Enr: 39							Resp: 23							Retake: 27%							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	13	40	36	9	0	0	0	13	40	36	9	0	0	0	13	40	36	9	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	4	50	36	9	0	0	0	4	50	36	9	0	0	0	4	50	36	9	5.5
Communicates	0	0	4	18																		

MGT 374H1S Operations Management

Instructor(s): O. Baron

Enr: 43 Resp: 19 Retake: 63%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	23	21	42	10	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	21	36	42	0	5.2
Communicates	0	0	0	10	26	47	15	5.7
Teaching	0	0	5	15	21	52	5	5.4
Workload	0	0	5	31	47	10	5	4.8
Difficulty	0	10	0	36	31	21	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	6	6	33	26	20	6	4.7

Baron was very intense and committed. Lectures were taught in a very effective way, but could be difficult to keep up with.

Instructor(s): D. Baron

Enr: 34 Resp: 22 Retake: 55%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	4	0	9	9	31	31	13	5.1
Explains	0	0	9	18	27	27	18	5.3
Communicates	0	0	4	18	18	36	22	5.5
Teaching	0	4	0	22	36	27	9	5.1
Workload	0	0	10	35	35	10	10	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	4	42	23	19	9	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	23	53	23	0	5.0

Baron was funny and enthusiastic. He took the course very seriously and was generally well-liked by students.

MGT 393H1F Legal Environment of Business I

Instructor(s): H. Laurence

Enr: 54 Resp: 33 Retake: 93%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	24	42	27	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	36	63	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	40	56	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	15	43	40	6.2
Workload	0	0	3	66	15	12	3	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	3	69	12	12	3	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	4	30	34	30	5.9

The instructor was extremely nice, friendly and enthusiastic about the course. The tests and examinations gave too little time for the amount of material being evaluated on. The use of real life examples made the material very applicable.

Instructor(s): R. Sahni

Enr: 52 Resp: 26 Retake: 91%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	15	34	46	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	7	23	69	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	11	34	53	6.4
Teaching	0	0	3	0	15	46	34	6.1
Workload	0	0	3	65	23	3	3	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	3	50	30	15	0	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	4	8	30	21	34	5.7

The instructor was very friendly and excellent at teaching the concepts. The instructor may have used more examples both in class and in lecture notes to enhance the learning experience. Lastly, the assessments should have provided more feedback.

Instructor(s): S. R. Shear

Enr: 55 Resp: 25 Retake: 91%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	20	48	28	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	4	16	28	52	6.3

Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	40	40	6.2
Teaching	0	0	4	0	24	36	36	6.0
Workload	0	0	16	75	8	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	20	70	8	0	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	28	23	33	14	5.3

Almost every student commented that Shear was a good instructor. Students would have preferred if the TAs had provided comments on assignments in addition to the grade.

Instructor(s): D. R. Shear

Enr: 56 Resp: 40 Retake: 93%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	7	33	23	33	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	25	28	46	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	2	38	28	30	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	5	38	30	25	5.8
Workload	0	0	2	57	26	7	5	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	10	44	31	7	5	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	3	11	44	22	18	5.4

The instructor's notes were excellent but some students said his tardiness negatively affected the enjoyment of the class. There were not enough comments made on assignments and students would have preferred if the TA did not mark everything. In the classroom Shear explained well but some students complained that he was not available for extra help (office hrs or email). He was praised by some students for his extremely useful handouts and good use of examples.

Instructor(s): R. Sahni

Enr: 52 Resp: 37 Retake: 92%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	2	0	0	0	10	32	54	6.3
Explains	2	0	0	0	5	18	72	6.5
Communicates	2	0	0	0	5	16	75	6.6
Teaching	2	0	0	0	13	24	59	6.3
Workload	0	0	16	59	10	13	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	2	70	18	8	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	11	22	29	37	5.9

Sahni was extremely well-liked. Lectures were enjoyable, effective and humorous. There were great examples used in explanations.

Instructor(s): D. R. Shear

Enr: 51 Resp: 20 Retake: 94%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	0	31	31	31	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	10	25	65	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	15	75	6.7
Teaching	0	0	5	5	5	40	45	6.2
Workload	0	0	10	60	15	10	5	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	5	55	20	10	10	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	14	14	28	42	6.0

The instructor was passionate and very well-liked. Lectures were interesting but the course was slightly disorganized.

Instructor(s): H. Laurence

Enr: 51 Resp: 29 Retake: 88%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	6	20	37	31	5.9
Explains	0	0	3	3	20	24	48	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	6	20	27	44	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	6	20	37	34	6.0
Workload	3	0	7	48	29	11	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	11	44	40	0	3	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	4	14	28	42	9	5.4

152 MANAGEMENT

The instructor was approachable, caring, interesting and funny. The lectures were enjoyable and concepts were communicated very effectively.

Instructor(s): D. R. Shear

	Enr: 54							Resp: 44	Retake: 94%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	4	11	16	34	32	5.8	
Explains	0	0	0	4	11	25	58	6.4	
Communicates	0	0	0	2	11	31	54	6.4	
Teaching	0	0	0	4	15	36	43	6.2	
Workload	0	0	2	69	23	4	0	4.3	
Difficulty	0	0	0	66	28	4	0	4.4	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	3	35	21	39	6.0	

The instructor was interesting and enthusiastic but the course administration was not very efficient. He explained new concepts with great clarity and real life examples.

Instructor(s): H. Laurence

	Enr: 51							Resp: 24	Retake: 86%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	4	26	52	17	5.8	
Explains	0	0	0	0	13	39	47	6.3	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	13	34	52	6.4	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	30	34	34	6.0	
Workload	0	0	4	69	17	8	0	4.3	
Difficulty	0	0	0	52	34	8	4	4.7	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	18	43	25	12	5.3	

The instructor was very enthusiastic and energetic. Lectures were engaging and interesting.

MGT 394H1S Legal Environment of Business II

Instructor(s): D. R. Shear

	Enr: 48							Resp: 29	Retake: 92%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	3	6	13	48	27	5.9	
Explains	0	0	0	0	10	34	55	6.4	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	13	41	44	6.3	
Teaching	0	0	3	3	6	55	31	6.1	
Workload	0	3	20	62	10	0	3	3.9	
Difficulty	0	0	6	65	14	6	3	4.3	
Learn Exp	0	5	0	15	25	30	25	5.5	

The instructor was very interesting and used great examples.

Instructor(s): D. Shear

	Enr: 43							Resp: 11	Retake: 90%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	9	9	36	9	36	5.5	
Explains	0	0	0	0	36	27	36	6.0	
Communicates	0	0	0	9	18	45	27	5.9	
Teaching	0	0	0	9	18	54	18	5.8	
Workload	0	0	9	72	18	0	0	4.1	
Difficulty	0	0	0	63	36	0	0	4.4	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	28	42	14	14	5.1	

Shear was a very likeable and interesting instructor.

MGT 411H1S Special Topics in Management: Pricing

Instructor(s): A. Goldfarb

	Enr: 25							Resp: 15	Retake: 93%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	33	20	46	6.1	
Explains	0	0	0	6	26	20	46	6.1	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	26	53	6.3	

Teaching	0	0	0	0	13	46	40	6.3
Workload	0	7	7	71	7	7	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	6	20	33	26	13	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	23	61	15	5.9

The instructor was very enthusiastic, accommodating and well liked. Course concepts were clearly taught and applicable to the real world.

MGT 413H1S Special Topics in Management: Cooperative Strategies

Instructor(s): M. Lederman

	Enr: 7							Resp: 6	Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	16	33	50	6.3	
Explains	0	0	0	0	16	33	50	6.3	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	16	16	66	6.5	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	33	16	50	6.2	
Workload	0	0	0	50	16	33	0	4.8	
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	33	16	0	4.7	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	33	16	50	6.2	

The instructor was passionate and very well-liked. Lectures were engaging and interactive.

MGT 420H1S Critical Thinking , Analysis and Decision Making

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

	Enr: 22							Resp: 18	Retake: 88%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	5	11	44	38	6.2	
Explains	0	0	0	0	22	44	33	6.1	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	11	38	50	6.4	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	16	44	38	6.2	
Workload	0	11	22	33	27	0	5	4.0	
Difficulty	0	0	0	16	38	22	22	5.5	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	12	25	37	25	5.8	

The course was very difficult and technical, but practical for commerce students. The instructor was well-liked and very organized.

Instructor(s): G. Richardson

	Enr: 32							Resp: 24	Retake: 85%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	8	12	33	25	20	5.4	
Explains	0	0	4	20	29	20	25	5.4	
Communicates	0	0	0	4	8	25	62	6.5	
Teaching	0	0	0	8	34	26	30	5.8	
Workload	0	4	0	33	16	20	25	5.2	
Difficulty	0	0	0	16	20	20	41	5.9	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	15	15	26	42	5.9	

The course was extremely challenging but applicable for students wishing to focus on accounting. The instructor was enjoyable to listen to and approachable.

MGT 423H1F Canadian Income Taxation I

Instructor(s): J. Kitunen

	Enr: 51							Resp: 42	Retake: 56%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	2	17	14	48	17	5.6	
Explains	0	0	4	9	12	34	39	5.9	
Communicates	0	0	2	9	4	34	48	6.2	
Teaching	0	0	2	7	17	46	26	5.9	
Workload	0	0	0	9	24	34	31	5.9	
Difficulty	0	0	0	2	27	45	25	5.9	
Learn Exp	03	0	3	14	21	46	10	5.3	

The instructor was very good and enthusiastic. However the main concern was that the workload for the course was too high. The instructor

also tended to deviate from the course material. It was suggested that solutions to problems should have been posted online.

Instructor(s): B. Rockx

	Enr: 38							Mean
	Resp: 28							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	3	0	21	35	32	7	5.1
Explains	0	0	3	7	50	28	10	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	7	25	42	25	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	7	35	46	10	5.6
Workload	0	0	0	3	37	29	29	5.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	11	37	33	18	5.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	6	25	62	6	5.7

The instructor was very energetic and enthusiastic but sometimes also seemed to rush through explanations.

Instructor(s): J. Kitunen

	Enr: 46							Mean
	Resp: 32							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	37	46	15	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	3	25	46	25	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	3	25	21	50	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	28	37	34	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	9	31	40	18	5.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	18	21	46	12	5.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	28	38	23	9	5.1

Overall, the instructor was very effective in teaching the concepts. The one drawback in the course was that the TA's kept changing.

Instructor(s): B. Rockx

	Enr: 49							Mean
	Resp: 39							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	13	44	30	11	5.4
Explains	0	0	5	13	32	37	10	5.4
Communicates	0	2	0	13	24	43	16	5.5
Teaching	0	0	2	18	29	37	10	5.4
Workload	0	0	0	8	16	40	345	6.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	10	16	32	40	6.0
Learn Exp	0	3	9	29	12	35	9	5.0

The instructor was very enthusiastic about the course and uses many real life examples. The course requires a lot of reading and a heavy workload.

Instructor(s): D. Smith

	Enr: 36							Mean
	Resp: 17							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	6	37	43	12	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	43	37	18	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	25	56	18	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	31	50	18	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	37	50	6	6	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	37	6	6	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	21	42	35	0	5.1

The instructor was very nice and patient. The course was very difficult.

MGT 426H1F Advanced Accounting

Instructor(s): J. Myers

	Enr: 48							Mean
	Resp: 35							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	14	45	31	8	5.3
Explains	0	0	2	8	32	44	11	5.5
Communicates	0	0	14	17	34	28	5	4.9

Teaching	0	0	0	8	38	47	5	5.5
Workload	0	0	0	0	25	57	17	5.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	0	8	45	45	6.4
Learn Exp	0	4	8	26	30	26	4	4.8

The breakdown of the quizzes and the presentation was good for the distribution of the marks. The workload was quite heavy.

MGT 426H1S Advanced Accounting

Instructor(s): J. Myers

	Enr: 71							Mean
	Resp: 49							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	6	22	24	22	24	5.4
Explains	0	2	8	20	22	24	22	5.3
Communicates	4	2	10	30	22	18	12	4.7
Teaching	0	4	3	12	38	18	20	5.2
Workload	0	0	0	6	26	42	24	5.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	4	20	28	46	6.2
Learn Exp	2	0	12	24	36	19	4	4.7

The course was extremely difficult. The lectures had good discussions and explanations. The instructor was exceptionally knowledgeable.

MGT 428H1F Management Control

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

	Enr: 46							Mean
	Resp: 35							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	2	17	57	22	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	14	55	29	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	5	8	51	34	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	2	14	48	34	6.1
Workload	0	0	11	35	20	2	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	11	71	11	5	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	22	35	32	9	5.3

Zuliani was a very friendly, enthusiastic instructor. The assignments and presentations were challenging and there should have been clearer instructions for participation.

MGT 428H1S Management Control

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

	Enr: 44							Mean
	Resp: 31							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	6	41	41	9	5.5
Explains	0	0	3	0	35	45	16	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	19	54	25	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	0	22	48	29	6.1
Workload	0	3	12	70	9	3	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	3	16	67	6	3	3	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	30	34	15	19	5.2

The instructor was very well-liked, organized and an efficient lecturer. The course was interesting and interactive.

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

	Enr: 45							Mean
	Resp: 36							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	8	0	28	37	25	5.7
Explains	0	0	2	0	20	45	31	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	25	40	34	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	0	17	47	35	6.2
Workload	5	2	2	62	20	5	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	2	8	64	17	5	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	9	31	13	31	13	5.1

The instructor was very well-liked. The lectures were interactive and enjoyable.

154 MANAGEMENT

MGT 429H1S Canadian Income Taxation II

Instructor(s): A. Spinner

	Resp: 24							Retake: 72%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	25	41	25	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	13	26	30	30	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	4	12	33	50	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	8	8	50	33	6.1
Workload	0	0	4	27	22	36	9	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	21	39	26	13	5.3
Learn Exp	6	0	6	0	31	37	18	5.4

The instructor was passionate and approachable. The course was difficult but a good learning experience.

Instructor(s): C. Orzech

	Resp: 29							Retake: 50%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	13	3	58	24	5.9
Explains	0	0	3	13	0	41	41	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	3	24	24	48	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	10	10	48	31	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	20	41	27	10	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	27	27	34	10	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	5	15	15	42	21	5.6

The instructor was very approachable and clear in her explanations. She was very enthusiastic and well-liked.

Instructor(s): C. Orzech

	Resp: 47							Retake: 68%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	13	17	42	22	5.6
Explains	0	0	4	8	32	28	26	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	8	30	52	8	5.6
Teaching	0	0	0	8	17	45	28	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	30	26	36	6	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	28	17	43	10	5.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	26	14	56	5	5.4

The instructor was well liked, enthusiastic and approachable. The course was presented in a very organized manner.

Instructor(s): A. Iqbal

	Resp: 10							Retake: 50%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	10	30	50	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	11	11	55	22	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	10	30	30	30	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	10	0	60	30	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	40	20	40	0	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	10	30	10	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	14	71	14	0	5.0

MGT 430H1S Fixed Income Securities

Instructor(s): M. Rindisbacher

	Resp: 6							Retake: 75%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	16	0	33	50	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	16	16	33	33	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	16	0	33	50	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	16	0	50	33	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	0	33	33	33	6.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	0	0	83	16	6.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	0	33	66	6.7

The course was difficult but the instructor presented it in an enjoyable

manner.

Instructor(s): M. Rindisbacher

	Resp: 39							Retake: 83%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	2	7	15	48	23	5.7
Explains	0	2	2	7	20	33	33	5.8
Communicates	0	2	2	5	10	35	43	6.1
Teaching	0	2	2	2	12	41	38	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	28	37	22	11	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	14	31	34	20	5.6
Learn Exp	4	0	0	13	17	56	8	5.4

The instructor was knowledgeable and approachable. The course was difficult but the instructor made the lectures enjoyable.

MGT 432H1F Risk Management for Financial Managers

Instructor(s): A. White

	Resp: 30							Retake: 20%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	6	3	13	31	17	10	17	4.5
Explains	7	3	14	35	14	14	10	2.8
Communicates	3	6	13	41	6	10	17	4.4
Teaching	3	6	3	37	20	13	13	4.6
Workload	3	0	0	41	27	6	20	4.9
Difficulty	3	0	0	17	13	13	51	5.9
Learn Exp	11	5	16	38	16	11	0	3.8

The course material was very difficult and perhaps unsuitable for undergraduate students. A tutorial may have been helpful for this course and more examples would have assisted in explaining the concepts.

MGT 439H1F International Finance

Instructor(s): C. Doidge

	Resp: 35							Retake: 85%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	20	28	45	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	5	25	28	40	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	5	22	25	45	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	5	20	31	42	6.1
Workload	0	0	2	26	38	26	5	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	2	20	41	14	20	5.3
Learn Exp	0	4	0	21	30	13	30	5.4

The instructor was a very elegant communicator and very knowledgeable and organized. However, the assignments and tests were difficult.

Instructor(s): C. Doidge

	Resp: 33							Retake: 93%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	12	30	54	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	18	36	45	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	3	12	15	69	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	3	12	18	66	6.5
Workload	0	0	3	21	40	25	9	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	25	28	34	12	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	15	15	30	40	5.9

Most students agreed that Doidge was an excellent instructor. Some students felt that the material was difficult but the exams were fair. However, it was noted that the TA did not provide enough feedback on assignments.

MGT 452H1S Advanced Marketing Management

Instructor(s): S. Hawkins

Enr: 51 Resp: 19 Retake: 93%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	0	15	31	31	15	5.3
Explains	0	5	0	10	26	42	15	5.5
Communicates	0	5	0	5	33	38	16	5.5
Teaching	5	0	0	10	42	26	15	5.3
Workload	0	0	0	84	10	5	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	78	10	10	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	14	50	28	7	5.3

Hawkins was very engaging and well-liked.

MAT 455H1F Consumer Behaviour

Instructor(s): S. Hawkins

Enr: 47 Resp: 38 Retake: 89%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	15	36	44	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	13	26	60	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	31	57	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	2	7	42	47	6.3
Workload	5	0	7	68	13	5	0	4.0
Difficulty	5	5	2	60	18	7	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	3	0	6	25	37	28	5.8

The instructor was an extremely effective communicator and used many detailed examples. The instructor also engaged all of the students in class and the material was interesting.

MGT 460H1F Human Resource Management

Instructor(s): A. Gallacher

Enr: 38 Resp: 36 Retake: 61%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	3	21	30	33	6	3	4.2
Explains	3	6	24	9	30	21	6	4.5
Communicates	0	3	3	18	21	30	24	5.5
Teaching	0	3	18	30	18	24	6	4.6
Workload	0	6	12	63	9	9	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	3	21	63	9	3	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	8	8	44	20	12	8	4.4

The instructor was very friendly and enthusiastic but needed more structure. The standards were a little vague and participation marks were unclear.

Instructor(s): A. Gallacher

Enr: 14 Resp: 12 Retake: 100%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	8	8	16	33	33	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	8	0	33	58	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	33	58	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	8	8	25	58	6.3
Workload	0	0	16	75	8	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	25	75	0	0	0	3.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	12	12	62	12	5.8

Most of the students who responded agreed that Gallacher was an outstanding instructor. He was very informative and interactive.

MGT 460H1S Human Resource Management

Instructor(s): B. Yanar

Enr: 46 Resp: 32 Retake: 58%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	3	12	37	31	12	5.3
Explains	0	3	9	28	28	25	6	4.8
Communicates	0	0	12	15	25	25	21	5.3

Teaching	0	3	9	18	34	31	3	4.9
Workload	0	3	12	65	18	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	3	12	71	9	3	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	4	18	59	4	9	4	4.1

The instructor was inexperienced but extremely enthusiastic. Her lectures were very interactive and she was very well-liked.

MGT 475H1S Management Science

Instructor(s): O. Berman

Enr: 19 Resp: 11 Retake: 90%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	9	9	18	45	18	5.5
Explains	0	0	9	9	27	18	36	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	9	27	36	27	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	9	27	27	36	5.9
Workload	0	0	18	27	45	9	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	27	36	18	18	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	44	11	33	11	5.1

MGT 493H1S Small Business Management

Instructor(s): T. Simcoe

Enr: 38 Resp: 25 Retake: 75%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	8	24	16	32	20	5.3
Explains	0	0	0	8	32	36	24	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	40	48	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	4	40	32	24	5.8
Workload	0	4	4	36	40	12	4	4.6
Difficulty	0	4	16	48	20	8	4	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	50	12	12	25	5.1

Expectations were not stated explicitly but the instructor was very approachable and willing to discuss student concerns.

Instructor(s): T. Simcoe

Enr: 35 Resp: 27 Retake: 62%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	7	30	15	26	19	5.2
Explains	0	0	7	23	11	38	19	5.4
Communicates	0	0	11	11	11	38	26	5.6
Teaching	0	0	3	15	19	34	26	5.7
Workload	3	3	7	26	23	15	19	4.8
Difficulty	3	7	7	50	15	3	11	4.2
Learn Exp	17	0	17	11	17	29	5	4.2

The course expectations were not made clear. But the instructor was enjoyable and willing to help. The instructor communicated effectively and used good examples to explain concepts.

MGT 499Y1Y Integrated Management Simulation

Instructor(s): H. Honickman

Enr: 50 Resp: 41 Retake: 94%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	12	29	53	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	12	17	31	39	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	2	12	24	60	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	9	26	63	6.5
Workload	0	0	2	5	17	35	40	6.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	20	42	27	10	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	0	14	85	6.9

The course was extremely practical and highly recommended by students. It was a great experience and useful for real-life work environments. The instructor was very helpful and supportive.